



WATERLOO CONSERVATION AREA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

5. ii. 89

Dear

PROPOSAL TO DEVELOP PUBLIC BATHS ETC TO THE SEAWARD SIDE OF THE MARINE GARDENS

The enclosed cutting from the Crosby Herald of the 2nd February is self explanatory and very alarming as a swimming pool and sports centre building is invariably very bulky in outline and could be a very ugly feature dominating views of and from the Conservation Area.

Crosby Corporation, when it obtained its Parliamentary Authority to build the Marine Park through the Crosby Corporation Act 1968 gave an undertaking. This was not to erect any permanent building or structure in the protected area, 100 yards wide to the seaward of the original line of the shore between Great Georges Road and the swimming baths, which will unreasonably interfere with the view of the estuary of the River Mersey from the ground floor windows of any premises fronting the protected area.

If new baths were to be authorised and constructed by developers on the Marine Park close to the bottom of South Road this would be virtually certain to interfere with the views of a considerable number of houses. In addition, it would be a dangerous precedent and breach of the 1968 undertaking which could lead to other types of development anywhere along the front between Great Georges Road and Mariners Road. *also certainly alien in a Conservation Area!*

It is now important that all owners and occupiers along the front write to the Borough Solicitor at the Town Hall, Lord Street, Southport and ask to be included on the register kept under the requirements of the Crosby Corporation Act 1968. This register is maintained by the Town Clerk in order that consultation might occur regarding any proposals for development on the Marine Park under the 1968 undertaking.

To ensure that residents are fully informed about these proposals now would you please copy all these papers to your neighbours on each side as soon as possible. It seems most unfortunate that we now have to face yet another threat to our amenity before there is even a decision on the shearer issue in which the Council proved to be so little help.

Yours sincerely,

P. J. ... & P. ...